



**FREEDOM.
JUSTICE.
DEMOCRACY.**

**FOR BRITAIN
NATIONAL MANIFESTO
2022**

**For Britain is a centre-right political party that believes
in small government and individual liberty**

Message from our Party Leader

It's true to say that history is always being made, but some times are more significant than others, and it could scarcely be more momentous than it is right now.

Throughout the past year, the world has become one of unprecedented government control, and the UK has not been unaffected. The relationship between the citizen and the state is now dramatically altered, and we are now informed as to what actions we may (or may not) take in our daily lives.

On top of this, mass illegal immigration is at record highs while our politicians do nothing to stop it.

Some people, sadly, have lost hope. We must restore this. We can only do so by remembering who we are, and by focusing on our achievements.

In elections throughout the year, such as Hartlepool and Batley, we once again won more votes than other small parties. Some of these have nationwide media attention, and we proved that this attention does not win votes; hard work does.

We were the only party to protest against the climate change sham in Glasgow, and we were very much noticed!

When For Britain began, I told you all that there would be little glamour, that we would not achieve overnight fame and fortune, but that we would be the strongest, proudest party because of our unshakeable integrity, and that is exactly what we are.

The reason we are the black sheep of the political family is because we are so radical in our insistence on courage and truth. You must always be proud of this.

It is true that the political landscape is still dominated by Labour and the Conservatives, but this is changing – particularly for Labour. The erosion of its 'red wall' in the north of England reveals with certainty the willingness of Labour voters to change. That's our future, we must grab on to it.

I want you to know that this is your fight, and the fight for your children and grandchildren. I am so proud of each and every one of us.

In 2022, we will continue to focus on building ourselves from the ground up, but this will be more focused and organised than ever. New groups are forming to fight for our freedoms and we will be at the heart of these - we already are.

Once again, no political parties, small or large, have made themselves so integral to the 'Stand in the Park' movement, no other leader has spoken at so many rallies for our freedoms. I will continue to do this in 2022 and we will give the people of Britain a political option that allows them to vote in their best interests and in the interests of their children.

Thank you for your strength and inspiration on this incredible journey. This adventure has only just begun, enjoy it, but always remember why you do this. You do it because you are the best of people. You stand up and tell the truth when even those who agree with you denounce you for it. You point out that the emperor is not wearing any clothes, and you do it despite the backlash you know it will bring.

You do it because you must. If you don't, nobody will.

What makes you even greater is that you undertake this often difficult task not for yourself but for others. You are heroic, and I am so grateful to you all.

Enjoy your conference, and I'll see you on the road again in 2022.

Manifesto 2022 Contents:

Coronavirus	4
NHS	6
Law and Order	8
Government	12
Immigration	15
Education	18
Animal Welfare	22
Media	23
Islam	25
Transgenderism	27
Family Law	29
Housing	31
Economy	33
Defence & Foreign Affairs	38
Energy, Agriculture & Transport	41
Welfare & Pensions	46

Coronavirus

The coronavirus pandemic hit the world in early 2020, but in 2021, this issue elevated to new levels and is now one of the most crucial and important matters we face.

So-called 'vaccine passports' now pose one of the greatest threats to our liberties that we have seen in our lifetimes. These passports record whether or not a person has been vaccinated against covid-19, and seeks to deny those who have not of basic services available to the public. Government pressure to take the vaccination has been overwhelming, and vaccine passports are central to this pressure. Importantly, Boris Johnson's government initially promised that vaccine passports would not be introduced in the United Kingdom.

This issue becomes even more troublesome because the effect of the vaccine is entirely unclear.

In late 2021, Boris Johnson stated that the vaccines, which are making billions of dollars for drug companies, do not prevent the transmission of covid-19, but they do make death or hospitalisation less likely. Soon after Johnson made this statement, Health Secretary Sajid Javid declared that the vaccine does significantly lower the chances of transmitting the virus – by 65%.

One report, also in late 2021, claimed that 511 unvaccinated people had died from covid-19 over a four week period. This was compared to 2,683 fully vaccinated people who had died during the same period. Therefore, the government's assertions are clearly untrue.

Furthermore, adverse reactions to the vaccine, recorded in the so-called 'yellow card' system, are not regularly covered by the media nor addressed by the government. The 'yellow card' allows people to report adverse reactions to medicines and healthcare products, including vaccines. According to the Office of National Statistics¹:

The Yellow Card reporting scheme has reported that between 9 December 2020 and 8 September 2021 there were 1,645 deaths where the person died shortly after receiving one of the coronavirus vaccines.

Meanwhile, 'big tech' censorship, as well as near silence from media, continued. YouTube, for example, removed two For Britain videos over a short period. These videos had contained statements that the vaccine does not prevent the spread of covid-19.

Despite inconsistencies and lack of clarity surrounding the vaccine, populations throughout the world are being pressured to continue to take 'boosters'. Frightening punishments for those who refuse the vaccine have escalated. In Austria, for example, the government announced plans in November 2021 to place all unvaccinated citizens under lockdown.² This would mean that those who have not been vaccinated would be denied access to restaurants, hotels, public events, and personal care services such as hairdressing.

In France, people over the age of 65 will be disallowed entry to restaurants, museums, and other places of leisure, if they are not up to date on boosters.³

¹ <https://blog.ons.gov.uk/2021/10/04/how-many-people-have-died-as-a-result-of-a-covid-19-vaccine/>

² <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2021/nov/12/austria-province-to-place-millions-of-unvaccinated-people-in-covid-lockdown>

³ <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-europe-59229041>

Given that there is no way of knowing how many boosters there will be, this could well become a permanent (or at least long-term) requirement. In the UK, Sajid Javid said he would 'not rule out' similar actions in the UK.

Finally, covid vaccinations became mandatory for care home staff in November 2021.⁴ Around 50,000 care home staff were affected; they lost their jobs. The same month, Sajid Javid stated that NHS staff who remained unvaccinated would lose their jobs in April 2022.⁵ This will affect more than 100,000 NHS workers. It cannot be ignored that the government consistently refers to pressure on NHS staff, that they were overstretched, and yet they are willing to fire more than 100,000 for refusing to take a vaccination with an effect that is entirely unclear.

For Britain believes in bodily autonomy. We also believe in informed consent and the availability of truthful information to the public.

Thus far, the public is not fully aware of the effects of covid vaccines and is not fully informed. However, even if the public was fully informed, people must still have a choice as to whether or not to take this vaccine. To deny people access to basic services for refusing to be vaccinated amounts to unacceptable government coercion and is a serious assault on our liberties.

For Britain will:

- Ensure there are no vaccine passports and that a person's health record remains private to themselves and their doctors only
- Ensure there are no further lockdowns
- End the requirement for medical or care home staff to be vaccinated
- End the vaccination of children at schools
- Protect the jobs of doctors, nurses, or other healthcare staff who speak against vaccination
- Hold a public inquiry in to covid vaccines and ensure the media reports the results
- Require the media to allow all sides of the covid/vaccine debate to be heard, and all figures, including risk, to be published
- Restore all NHS services, including face to face appointments
- Hold an inquiry in to the deaths or injury caused by sole NHS focus on covid
- Repeal the Coronavirus Act permanently
- Re-route foreign aid to local governments to enable them to support small businesses back to their feet
- Encourage and facilitate the production of essential medical supplies here in the UK so that we do not find ourselves dependent upon other nations to meet our needs
- Pursue any legal avenue against China for retrieval of lost earnings caused by that country's negligence
- Reward with tax breaks British companies who source products from countries other than China

⁴ <https://news.sky.com/story/thousands-of-care-home-staff-to-lose-their-jobs-as-mandatory-covid-19-vaccine-deadline-passes-12465668>

⁵ <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/health-59215282>

NHS

Throughout 2020 and 2021, the NHS was lauded as heroic by both people and government. While there is certainly reason to celebrate our health service, its problems cannot be ignored.

Throughout the coronavirus pandemic, non-covid services from the NHS all but disappeared. These have not returned. Indeed, services over the internet, which are entirely inappropriate, continued in to 2021. GPs even threatened to strike if forced to see patients face-to-face once again.

We currently have no idea how many deaths were caused by lack of NHS services in the recent past or at present.

Meanwhile, billions of pounds continue to be pumped in to the health service, bed numbers cut, nursing staff underpaid, and with no accountability from NHS management to the public.

In 2021, Boris Johnson's government announced tax rises to increase funding of the NHS.⁶ No attention was paid to the catastrophic waste that has for so long plagued the service. Billions of pounds are spent every year unnecessarily and this must stop.⁷ The NHS also spends an estimated £2bn per year on 'health tourism' (i.e. people coming to the UK solely to utilise the healthcare system).⁸

For Britain acknowledges the vast numbers of NHS staff who work tirelessly to provide this service, and we acknowledge the high standard of care delivered by the NHS and the numerous lives it saves and enhances each and every year.

We also acknowledge, and continue to seek to avoid, any transition to a fully privatised or insurance-based system. This is for two reasons: 1) insurance premiums may be too high for many and the poorest will suffer most, 2) Britain's pensioners have already paid in to the NHS pot and must not now be denied what they paid for when they begin to need it most. Elderly people, having funded the NHS, should not, under any circumstances, be required to pay again for their necessary care.

For Britain is aware that privatisation has soared in the NHS in recent years. In 2017, one report claimed that as many as 70% of clinical contracts in England had been won by private companies, with major firms like Virgin winning contracts worth £1bn.⁹

In addition, serious questions have been raised about links between Members of Parliament and major drug companies and whether politicians may be profiting from the private 'sale' of NHS assets.

In 2014, a report revealed links and connections between politicians and healthcare giants. Unite, the union, claimed that 24 MPs and peers who backed health reforms that allowed further

⁶ <https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2021/sep/07/boris-johnson-unveils-12bn-a-year-tax-rise-to-pay-for-nhs-and-social-care>

⁷ <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/health/article-4377250/How-NHS-wastes-7-6bn-year.html>

⁸ <https://www.express.co.uk/news/uk/849324/nhs-health-tourism-2bn-year-Dr-Meirion-Thomas>

⁹ <https://www.independent.co.uk/news/health/nhs-privatisation-contracts-virgin-care-richard-branson-jeremy-hunt-a8134386.html>

privatisation of the NHS had such links to private healthcare companies.¹⁰

Finally, it is widely believed that the NHS is 'top heavy' with regard to non-medical management and admin, while nurses are underpaid and overworked.

For Britain will:

- Restore NHS services to pre-pandemic levels as a matter of urgency (including the restoration of face-to-face appointments and treatment)
- End waste and spend money on medical services
- Audit the NHS; the British public deserves to know where NHS money is being spent and who is profiting from it.
- End the privatisation of the NHS and introduce reversals where possible.
- Subject hospital chief executives to public scrutiny via a Public Sector Accountability Act (see 'Government').
- End health tourism; all visitors and migrants entering Britain will have valid health insurance.
- Ensure that only those who have lived and worked in the UK for a minimum of 5 years are entitled to NHS care (except emergency care).
- Bar Members of Parliament from profiting from NHS contracts.
- Ensure that a sufficient proportion of NHS funding is directed at the provision of services for those suffering from mental health conditions.
- Scrap hospital car-parking charges.
- Penalise patients who fail to attend outpatient appointments without valid excuse.

¹⁰ <https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2014/oct/03/healthcare-companies-links-tories-nhs-contracts>

Law & Order

The UK faces many problems, none greater than the breakdown of law and order and the Orwellian politicisation of policing. Britain is a divided society, where some people, by virtue of their racial or religious characteristics, are effectively permitted to live outside the law – the democratic concept of one law for all has been dismantled. This is largely due to senior police staff adhering to sinister extreme-left values, espoused by secretive groups such as Common Purpose, and to the racist proposals put forward following the murder of Stephen Lawrence in London: the MacPherson inquiry forced the police to treat people differently depending on their race. We must reverse this. In October 2021, the Home Office proposed that ethnic minorities be given priority for promotion throughout the police service. This is wrong. It is racist and is only legal because of the Equalities Act. It is a further example of the deliberate degradation of white people in Britain. It fits a wider theme. It is also insulting to minority groups who are labelled as incapable of rising through the ranks on merit. Black police officers have spoken against this system.

For Britain maintains that effective policing is vital for any society to flourish; it should be a top priority for government. We also recognise that policing in Britain has been decimated in terms of police numbers under both the coalition and Conservative governments – a staggering 20,000 police officers have been lost since 2009. Whilst the government claims to have started to replace these lost police officers, there are questions as to the quality of current recruits. The situation is also exacerbated by experienced officers leaving in droves.

Police stations continue to close across the country, and despite dwindling resources, police priorities are routed towards silencing dissent (or ‘hate’) rather than punishing crime.

Equality before the law is a fundamental facet of the British justice system. The concept of hate crime undermines this principle and gives special status to certain groups. It allows the creation of a ‘crime’ where no substantive crime has been committed. For Britain rejects this and demands that we are all treated equally. For Britain would abolish the concept of hate crime, whilst maintaining certain protections for juveniles. For Britain welcomes the challenges in the courts to the police approach to “Hate Crime.”

“Non crime hate incidents” are now a feature of British policing. Figures from 2020 reveal that around 120,000¹¹ such incidents have been recorded by police. Crucially, no crime is committed in these instances, but they are still recorded by police and the affected person does not necessarily know they have such a record. This is nothing less than secret policing and should be instantly abolished and all records scrapped.

Her Majesty’s Inspectorate of Constabulary has increasingly been staffed by civilians who have no knowledge of policing. They are agents of government and are imposing left-wing agendas upon police forces. Police have openly ‘taken sides’ in political debate and have demonstrated this by overseeing and participating in the protests of the so-called ‘Black Lives Matter’ group, Extinction Rebellion and latterly “Insulate Britain”. It is crucial that this bias is recognised and actively reversed. There must be recognition of the violent nature of some political groups, and this must be tackled by law.

It is a further unfortunate reality that trust in the police has diminished in the UK. This is due to several factors: open political bias (police officers ‘taking the knee’ at BLM protests), and failures to protect children from rape gangs in Rotherham and elsewhere, as well as the consequent cover-ups,

¹¹ <https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/dont-behave-like-gestapo-over-transphobic-tweets-warns-judge-zc9fpw3k8>

and the political correctness that causes them to have little interest in crime if the ‘wrong’ demographic has fallen victim. Interest in the concept of self-defence is an obvious fallout of this mistrust in the police and judicial system. British people have little access to weapons as a means of self-defence (unlike in the United States, for example) and have witnessed instances of people being jailed for using violence to defend themselves against burglars and so on. It can only create a sense of powerlessness and unease if people are unclear as to how they may defend themselves and their families, if necessary.

Trust in the police was radically eroded in 2021 with the murder of London woman Sarah Everard. She was raped and murdered by a serving police officer and police across the UK found themselves in the extraordinary position of giving advice to people on what to do if they did not trust the police! It was afterwards discovered that the murderer of Everard, Wayne Couzens, had a long record of entirely inappropriate behaviour, including exposing his genitals in public. Despite this, Couzens remained a serving officer.

Magistrates’ courts deal with 97% of cases in England and Wales. Prior to 2005, they were managed by committees in an entirely independent system. Local communities undertook responsibility for the application of the law within their district. In 2005, these committees were abolished – and the courts fell under the management of a government agency (now called the HM Courts & Tribunal Service). Local justice areas have been merged and courthouses closed, while court clerks have become civil servants, subject to direct political pressure from government.

The Crown Prosecution Service (CPS) replaced local prosecuting solicitors, who were accountable locally. The current CPS is now an agent of government policy and does not make independent decisions.

Whilst prison is expensive and undoubtedly has a detrimental effect on the lives of those that are incarcerated, reluctance to use prison when appropriate places the public at risk, weakens the law’s deterrent effect, and diminishes the effectiveness of all non-custodial sentences.

For centuries, judicial review has been the principal way in which public bodies, including the government, are held to account by the public. In the complex modern world, where power often resides in centralised bureaucracies, the right to review administrative decisions has become more important to the individual citizen – yet the government has attempted to restrict the class of people who can seek judicial review and increased the costs (and potential awards following an unsuccessful claim). This is a dishonourable attempt on the part of government to avoid scrutiny and accountability by ordinary people.

Throughout the pandemic, the government has become increasingly authoritarian, not just controlling us physically, but also seeking to curtail what we say. There are proposals to limit media comments that go against the “accepted” narrative, or any comment that could cause “psychological” harm to another. For Britain deplores these moves and sees them as another serious move to control us and curtail our freedoms.

In a free society, any person should have the freedom to wear whatever clothing they choose for the purposes that clothing is normally worn: comfort, decency, utility or style. However, face coverings – whether worn to comply with conservative religious mores or to conceal the wearer’s identity – are detrimental to social cohesion and the public good.

Finally, sex crime is an alarming problem in UK society and one for which people seek justice and criminal punishment. However, a social change must also take place. The sexualisation of children, for example, is a growing concern among parents, and there is evidence to suggest that even police

officers have disregarded the notion of 'statutory rape' (i.e. sex with minors) and held that young girls involved in 'grooming gangs' were willing participants, despite their age. For Britain believes a dramatic change in attitude must take place with regard to sexuality, particularly involving young people, and this must begin with the law.

For Britain will:

- End political bias in the police and require officers to protect the right to protest and the free speech rights of all
- Scrap any and all hate speech legislation
- End the 'hate crime' element in criminal offences
- Abolish the recording of "non crime hate incidents" and scrap all current records
- Clarify and improve the laws surrounding self-defence; British people must be free to defend themselves, their families, and their property.
- Remove the police from political control. For Britain recognises that the police need to be accountable to the local populace, and as such will introduce a Public Sector Accountability Act to allow people to hold senior police officers accountable for failure to perform their basic duty.
- Reform Her Majesty's Inspectorate of Constabulary from being a puppet of the government to impartial judge of police service professionalism.
- Prevent further merging of police forces and give consideration to restoring smaller police forces rooted in local communities or specific activities, whilst retaining the National Crime Agency.
- Exclude any notion of positive discrimination from the police force. People should be recruited and promoted based on merit, not the colour of their skin or their gender.
- Reverse the outsourcing of policing roles such as control room, custody facilities and the forensic science service.
- Increase police numbers to at least 2010 levels, improve pay and restore the status of the office of constable.
- Remove Magistrates' Courts from the management of the HMCTS and re- establish local, independent Magistrates' Court committees, responsible for their own staff, who are no longer to be subject to a civil servant management framework.
- Place any discounting of a guilty plea at the discretion of the prosecutor to offer or withhold, by reference to the strength of evidence, views of the witnesses, and public interest.
- Abolish suspended sentences – if a judge considers that a case is serious enough to warrant a custodial sentence, release should only be granted on compassionate grounds or following substantial efforts at reform such as the completion of training and education courses.
- Ban face coverings in public unless medically justified (this will exclude special festivals or events, such as Halloween, during which face coverings could be expected to be worn).
- Ensure that no arbitration ruling, or any party's expectations based upon cultural norms, upon their entering into a relationship, are enforced by British courts if it amounts to direct or indirect discrimination
- Ensure the prosecution of all criminal offences including bigamy, female genital mutilation, sexual abuse of minors and domestic violence shall be enforced without excuse or mitigation based upon religion or culture.
- Abolish any degree requirement and prevent direct entry at senior level. The police service is being destroyed by political correctness, and the degree requirement puts all recruits in the hands of Marxist lecturers. You do not need a degree to do a bobby's job! It also means police officers start their careers in debt, like other graduates. It is not a good idea for police officers to be in debt; in fact, it was a disciplinary offence at one time because it makes them vulnerable to manipulation.
- Make it a criminal offence for any police officer to publicly demonstrate support for any activist or political group, or any organisation founded on racial grounds.

- Designate as terrorists those who engage in violence for political ends, such as members of Antifa or Black Lives Matter.
- Require greater discipline in the police and create a centralised process to deal with 'red flags' such as the behaviour of Wayne Couzens
- Significantly increase sentences for rape and sexual assault.

Government

The way the UK is governed must change. The behaviour of MPs following the Brexit result, and throughout the coronavirus pandemic, revealed to the public a widespread disregard in Parliament for the voice of the British people. Insidious laws on 'hate' have led to the strangulation of free speech and a population frightened to express an honest opinion for fear of risking job loss or arrest. MPs have been perfectly content to remove our freedoms to an unprecedented extent; most MPs did not even question the need for or wisdom of covid lockdowns, nor did they encourage the exploration of alternative approaches. MPs, in other words, have demonstrated that they do not act in the interests of the people.

Furthermore, our Parliament has done nothing to protect our heritage from violent left-wing mobs, or to ensure the police act with consistency and integrity. It has done little to nothing to hold government to account for many years.

In recent years, the British people watched our politicians begin the process of re-writing history by allowing statues and memorials to be defaced or torn down. The Labour Party set out on a journey to 'review' just such statues and memorials, as well as street names. Labour Mayor of London Sadiq Khan has convened a panel to review our history and doubtless dismantle and distort it by removing the influence of native British people from the story of our past. For Britain believes in the opposite. We will erect more statues and legislate to protect our past from interference by the Mayor of London or others.

Parliament has shown itself, time and again, to be an opponent rather than a representative of the people of Great Britain.

For Britain believes in the fundamental democratic rights of all British people. We therefore seek the implementation of a UK Constitution designed to protect the rights and freedoms of citizens from the whim of Parliamentarians. Members of Parliament, both the House of Commons and the House of Lords, should not have the ability to remove, or infringe upon, the fundamental rights of the British people.

The Human Rights Act, ostensibly intended to protect our rights, is not fit for purpose and has instead become a protector of foreign criminals and terrorists who ought to be removed from the United Kingdom.

British citizens currently have no practicable means to hold to account those in power such as senior police, the NHS, the CPS, or local government executives. For Britain believes this must be changed, and power must be delivered back into the hands of the people.

For Britain believes in our union. We believe in the United Kingdom, and we believe that our union must be celebrated in popular culture. We support and encourage the unity of the peoples and cultures of the British Isles.

For Britain further believes that the 'first past the post' system, used to elect members of the House of Commons, is past its sell-by date and not fit for purpose. A two-party state is not a healthy democracy.

Electoral fraud is a major and unnecessary flaw in the democratic process. The Electoral Commission has admitted that there were 'troubling' instances of double-voting (i.e. the same person voting twice). In some areas, such as Tower Hamlets in London, voter fraud has been overlooked by authorities – a situation described by former Communities Minister Eric Pickles

as 'astonishing'.¹²

A further element of the British political order that needs urgent reform is the House of Lords. Whilst it serves a primary purpose in the scrutiny of legislation and the holding of government to account, it is arguably too large and too expensive, and its membership too wide. The estimated cost of each member of the approximately 900-strong House of Lords is £83,000 per year.

Local government is the driving force of the towns and cities that people live in; as such, it has the power to influence major aspects of everyday life. For Britain is concerned about waste in local government, as well as value for money. This also applies to policing, the NHS, the CPS, and other major public sector bodies. Far too frequently, police chiefs, local government officials, and NHS executives fail in their basic duty and are not easily accountable to the public.

For Britain will confront, stop and oppose any further progress of anti-white hatred in British society. Hatred of the white majority in the UK is openly expressed in a manner that would be criminal if applied to any other racial group. We will end the teaching of the false concept of 'white privilege' in public life (including schools) and ensure that white children are not subject to racial discrimination or degradation. White adults should suffer no discrimination in the workplace or criminal justice system.

For Britain will:

- Scrap the Human Rights Act and replace it with a UK Constitution¹⁸ and a Public Sector Accountability Act, to enable citizens to hold public sector leaders to account.
- Abandon the 'first past the post' electoral system.
- Reform the House of Lords and introduce appointments criteria to ensure that those appointed are able to show achievement and contribution to business, politics, charity or other relevant areas.
- Ensure that only British citizens can vote in a general election and that those who vote in any election produce valid identity.
- Ensure all steps are taken to prevent double-voting; any electoral fraud should be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law.
- End postal voting for all except those who are out of the UK at the time of the election and those clearly unable to attend a polling station on account of disability.
- Raise the voting age to 21.
- Introduce referenda in local areas so that people can vote on any major construction or other major change in their locality.
- Keep council tax as low as possible; either this should not be increased, or it should be reduced via the targeting of waste.
- Prioritise public spending to ensure that all children can attend a school rated at least 'good' by Ofsted; For Britain will set this target and follow through.
- Ensure that local people are prioritised in the allocation of social and affordable housing.
- Install CCTV in polling stations, focused primarily on counts, and allow candidates (or candidate representatives) to observe the transport of ballot boxes from polling stations to their destination for counting.
- Ensure the Union Jack is publicly displayed outside all public buildings, including schools.

¹² <https://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/politics/eric-pickles-investigation-electoral-fraud-tower-hamlets-a7187326.html>

- Create a new Bank Holiday to celebrate the UK's independence from the EU – June 23rd.
- Restore any statues defaced or torn down by violent left-wing mobs, including that of Edward Colston in Bristol.
- Legislate to protect our monuments and memorials from destruction by local councils or mayors.
- Actively bring an end to anti-white hate and discrimination, as well as the false concept of 'white privilege', in all areas of public life.

Immigration

Immigration to the United Kingdom is too high and must be dramatically reduced. This country cannot and should not sustain current migration levels. According to Migration Watch, the year ending June 2020 saw net migration at 247,000.¹³ Immigration amounted to 622,000, while emigration was 375,000.

More alarming than these high levels of legal migration are the equally unacceptable numbers of illegal immigrants entering the country, often *with the aid of* the UK Border Force.

Throughout 2021, record numbers of illegal migrants entered Britain via ports at Dover and elsewhere. The number arriving in 2021 was more than 23,000 via the English Channel alone (the figure in 2020 was approximately 8,000).

Our political and media class would have us believe that those migrants, now housed in hotels at the taxpayers' expense (while British homeless sleep rough), are refugees and asylum seekers fleeing for their lives. But authorities including the United Nations have acknowledged that the vast majority arriving do not qualify for either refugee or asylum-seeker status. This means that those coming to our shores are illegal immigrants, taking advantage of asylum processes to unlawfully enter our country.

That is the lawful reality, and yet the vast majority of our politicians will not acknowledge or recognise it. Furthermore, the media continues to portray such illegal migrants as refugees, or even to compare them to people fleeing the Nazis or Uganda under Idi Amin. This is a contemptible distortion. We do not know who these arrivals are, nor is there any real threat to them. We must not accept any more and those who have arrived illegally must be removed.

Illegal immigration cannot, and must not, be encouraged, facilitated, or in any way promoted by the British state. On the contrary, routes in to the UK must be fiercely defended and the Border Force led only by those committed to this. In late 2021, newspapers reported that the outgoing chief of the Border Force did not believe in the necessity of borders.¹⁴ This is entirely and completely unacceptable.

It is clear that the English Channel requires a physical barrier to illegal immigrants. This could take the form of netting or another practical prevention method. The Border Force, or the Royal Navy if necessary, must patrol entry routes to Britain and prevent (by force if necessary) any attempts to illegally enter the UK.

Whilst we acknowledge and appreciate the many hardworking legal immigrants in Britain and their contribution to our country, For Britain recognises that the British economy has become too reliant on foreign workers, and that this has had a major impact on the employment prospects of Britons. For example, it was reported in 2014 that 80,000 students per year struggle to find nursing places in the NHS, despite it hiring thousands from abroad each year.¹⁵

¹³ <https://www.migrationwatchuk.org/news/2021/05/19/experimental-and-provisional-official-research-estimates-net-migration-in-year-to-june-2020-to-be-247000>

¹⁴ <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-10199165/Outgoing-UK-Border-Force-boss-triggers-political-row-saying-borders-just-pain-a.html>

¹⁵ <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2878312/80-000-UK-students-told-t-train-nurse-Thousands-t-courses-despite-four-five-new-NHS-workers-foreign.html>

For Britain believes we must prioritise investment in training and access to employment for young British citizens, in the best interests of British society tomorrow and the British economy today.

Furthermore, much immigration comes from societies that are fundamentally at odds, culturally and in terms of religion, with British culture and law. This has led to a fracturing of British society and vastly increased social division. For example, it has been revealed that a staggering 50,000 people living in the city of Birmingham are unable to speak English.¹⁶ The native English population of Birmingham, England's second city, are due to become a minority in the coming years. The political and media class describe this displacement of the native British as 'diversity'.

For Britain will:

- End all illegal immigration and return offenders to their country of origin without delay or appeal – all of those who arrived illegally in Dover (or elsewhere) will be deported.
- Install a physical border in the English Channel, or elsewhere if needed, and utilise the Border Force and/or Royal Navy to patrol our waters and return illegal boats to their departure point in France or elsewhere.
- End all payments to France for preventing migration – something it has obviously failed to do
- Arrest all known illegal immigrants and hold them in detention until they are returned to their home nations. We will build new prisons for this purpose if necessary.
- Ensure the head of the Border Force is 100% committed to protecting our borders from illegal immigration.
- Freeze legal immigration to the UK for a period of 5 years (this will not affect travel for business or leisure and temporary work visas may be issued during this time in the interests of the economy).
- Ensure that the need for foreign workers is reduced in the near future by investing in effective training for young Britons.
- Introduce a points-based migration system at the end of these 5 years based upon need and in the interests of British citizens – those seeking to live in the UK will be of good character and economically self-sufficient, will respect British culture and make an effort to integrate, and will obey UK laws and agree to adhere to the democratic order. Those who will not integrate, or who will not respect British laws and norms, will not be permitted to live in the UK and will not be granted British citizenship.
- Make British citizenship obtainable after a period of 10 years' residence. Those applying for British citizenship must be able to show economic self-sufficiency for that period and be of good character, have no criminal record, and have no involvement with seditious or violent ideologies. Those who have expressed refusal to integrate into the wider culture of Britain will be refused citizenship and will face deportation.
- Make clear, and legislate on the basis that, all British citizens are equal.
- Use the resources currently employed in facilitating inward migration to investigate current illegal immigration.
- Deport those found to be living in the UK unlawfully (exceptions may be made on humanitarian grounds).
- Ensure that appeals against deportation are at private, and not public, expense and are conducted from the appellant's home country.
- Ensure that asylum is rare, of proven necessity, and temporary. Britain is a compassionate country, willing to help those in need, but any asylum must be based upon immediate threat

¹⁶ <https://www.thesun.co.uk/news/6636258/white-brits-to-be-minority-in-birmingham-with-50000-locals-unable-to-speak-english-and-residents-from-almost-every-country-in-uks-super-diverse-second-city/>

(poverty does not constitute grounds for asylum) and the understanding that it is temporary.

- Reassess current asylum seekers in the UK and deport those involved in violent crime.
- Triple the size of the UK border force to ensure that immigration laws are applied.
- Permanently prevent the return to the UK of any person known to have joined a paramilitary or terror group known to be hostile to the UK and its values (e.g. ISIS).

Education

Children are a society's future. What Britain's children learn today is the foundation of the Britain of tomorrow. For Britain believes that education in the UK is in a state of emergency. Instead of being educated, or being taught the skills required to pursue careers and be responsible, self-sufficient, respectful, and law-abiding adults, children are subject to enormous political and social propaganda. This is shaping British society and sending it in a dramatically different direction. Left-wing propaganda dominates teachers' unions and as such, propaganda – such as that surrounding Islam or transgenderism – is taught as fact.

For a society to succeed, its people must have respect for that society. In schools, left-wing indoctrination into ideas of multiculturalism and the demonisation of Britain are the norm. This means that British children are growing up with a negative view of British society and its freedoms – and indeed of Western liberal democracy itself. The National Union of Teachers, Britain's largest teaching union, has previously passed a motion refusing to teach British values to children, denouncing this as 'cultural supremacism'. Instead, the union insisted upon promoting 'policies that welcome migrants and refugees into Britain'.

Reading, writing, mathematics and learning skills are the building blocks of a working life. Excellent discipline in school, at all times, is essential. There must be a standardised disciplinary policy for every school in the UK. Excellent behaviour will be insisted upon, so that students can focus entirely on their studies.

The 'old' system of grammar, secondary modern and technical schools was designed and equipped to make a great education available to all, regardless of background, giving mobility particularly to children from poorer backgrounds. To meet the current demand for these types of schools, we must concentrate on opening a minimum of one grammar school per town, and more where funds are available, to ensure every child who will benefit has the opportunity to take an available place.

(a) Grammar schools will provide a traditional academic education to GCSE and A' level standard. This will then lead to the study of traditional subjects such as the sciences and humanities at university level.

(b) Technical colleges will provide a skills-based education for professions such as engineering, plumbing, brick-laying, mechanics and so on. Industry will be encouraged to work in association with these schools and provide apprenticeships for students, with guaranteed job offers following successful completion of their studies. These schools should also offer an education leading to more general vocational areas such as accountancy, food technology or graphic design. Studies could continue to tertiary level with the reintroduction of polytechnic colleges, which traditionally provided superb degrees in the applied STEM subjects.

In a bid to ensure that as many people as possible attend university, quota systems adopted by both Labour and Conservative governments have meant that students are graduating with pointless degrees and mountains of debt, when another career direction may have been more appropriate.

A New Curriculum

For Britain will introduce a new national curriculum to be followed by all schools – state, private, and religious – outlining minimum teaching requirements. Beyond the curriculum, schools will be free to make additions (provided they do not conflict with the curriculum) and to decide upon teaching style.

The curriculum will include the following:

History – History should be taught to children as it happened, not with political spin. Children will be taught the true horrors of totalitarian regimes such as Communism and Nazism. They will also be taught the positive contributions that Great Britain has made to the world, as well as its industrial and political history.

Politics – Children will learn how British politics works. They will learn the mechanisms of Parliament, the party system, local councils, and the impact of the media. Schools will defend the democratic process as superior to totalitarian regimes, and pupils will learn the value of freedom of speech, open debate, and individual liberty.

Sciences – Children will be taught factual and unbiased science. They will learn and practice scientific enquiry and open debate, as well as the principles of science (e.g. that true science attempts to disprove its own findings). All aspects of science, fact, and opinion will be explored, and this will be carried out without political bias.

Mathematics – As well as being taught complex mathematics, children will learn how to add, subtract, multiply, do fractions, and so on without use of computers or calculators. The curriculum will be rewritten to embrace Personal Financial Management, to equip our youngsters with the numeracy skills needed to balance a personal budget when they become independent.

Languages – Children will be introduced to languages at an early age (i.e. early primary school). European languages, as well as those from far afield, will be included. Schools will decide upon which languages to teach in light of available resources.

English – Children will learn the richness and beauty of the English language. They will read English classics by great British writers such as Dickens, Shakespeare, the Bronte sisters, and more recent authors such as George Orwell. They will learn the English language and how to write and speak correctly.

Practical living – Children must be taught the practicalities of life. They will be taught cookery and healthy eating, household budgeting, banking (mortgages etc.), finances, taxes, and respect for the law.

Non-academic subjects – Academia is not the only route for children to take. Not all children will be suited to academia, nor desire academic careers. Therefore, skills such as car maintenance, plumbing, and other non-academic options must be on offer for those with aptitude for them.

Much of the Personal, Social, Health and Economic education [PSHE] syllabus must be taught in an unbiased, contextualised and age-specific manner. Students who need help of a personal nature must always be provided with pastoral support.

Children over the age of 12 will be taught about sexual reproduction and its consequences in terms of disease and unwanted pregnancy. Religious schools will be free to teach sexual morals in accordance

with their own tradition, but they must also teach that homosexuality and sex outside of marriage are legal and that homosexuals (and transsexuals) enjoy equal rights under the law. This would not interfere with their religious right to condemn homosexuality/transsexuality as 'sinful', but would require children to be taught about the society they live in and that their religious tradition does not necessarily match the law of the land in a secular nation. Teaching on abstinence, as well as contraception, will be included in the 'list of options' for human sexuality, allowing children to better understand sexual morals and adult responsibility in this regard. Sex education is a preparation for adult life, which commences with the legal age of consent. The importance of the law must be emphasised.

Universities

Like schools, universities in Britain are carrying the heavy weight of political correctness and left-wing bias. Some universities disallow open debate and bar non-left-wing speakers from holding events. Those critical of immigration, Islam, censorship, or transgenderism are routinely 'no-platformed' in British universities.

Teaching is very often left-wing, as well as one-sidedly anti-British, anti-American, anti-capitalist, and anti-Israel.

For Britain will:

- Legally oblige universities to hire teachers of all political backgrounds – we will ensure genuine diversity of thought within British teaching institutions.
- Institute a University Bias Board, to which students may complain if an event of their choosing (or speakers they have invited) are disallowed for political reasons.
- Expel students who threaten to disrupt events with intimidation or violence, based solely on the presence of a particular speaker (and bring criminal charges if appropriate), if found guilty of such intimidation by the University Bias Board.
- End university quotas.
- Give preferential treatment to our Armed Services and Veterans in honour of the service they have provided to our nation. This will include full funding of degree courses.
- Provide e-learning on all National Curriculum subjects to the children of active service personnel. This will allow them to continue their studies without disruption when required to relocate around the world.

Proud to be British

Imagine a country where people no longer value the nation state. They bathe indulgently in the freedoms that democracy, equality, and altruism bring, with little understanding that these are concepts that must be cherished and if needs be, fought for.

Our education system must be more than one that prepares our children for future employment. We must show them too what a wonderful honour it is to be British, and precisely what this means. Regardless of their origins and distinct culture, everyone must share a common respect for the values and ideals of British constitutional law (and no other) – laws developed from values and ideas, which evolved over hundreds of years. Laws forged from the sacrifices of those who gave their lives to secure the peace and democracy we enjoy today.

Ours is one of the oldest democracies in the world – open, inclusive and, within sensible limits, welcoming to all who wish to abide by, and participate in, our own cultural values and heritage.

Let us see a Union Jack in the entrance to every school, and let our children sing ‘God save the Queen’ in assemblies. Never degrade the lessons of history with the cynicism of cultural Marxism. Our children need to remember who we are and give future generations the context needed to continue the journey.

We need an education system that understands the difference between right and wrong, and that will continue the fight to preserve that distinction in our great country.

For Britain will:

- Scrutinise the National Union of Teachers and place obligations upon them to teach the national curriculum as required; those who refuse will be fired.
- Aim to build one grammar school in every town in the UK.
- Oblige local government to prioritise the provision of decent schools in their area.
- End the ‘pointless degree’ culture by providing funding for the study of STEM subjects (with the aim of ending tuition fees altogether).

Animal Welfare

For Britain cares deeply about animal life, and we believe that as an animal-loving nation, we have fallen foul in recent years and have allowed unnecessary and grotesque cruelty to be committed against animals.

For Britain is very concerned, for example, about the proliferation of religious un-stunned slaughter of farm animals, in contravention of all animal protection laws. Meat from halal slaughter (i.e. un-stunned slaughter in accordance with Islamic ritual) is now served routinely in British schools, hospitals, government buildings, and sporting venues. Kosher meat, from animals slaughtered in accordance with Jewish tradition, also involves cutting the throat of a conscious animal. British law requires animals to be stunned to unconsciousness prior to slaughter but allows for religious exemption.

Halal certification is now commonplace and is often applied to non-meat products. Certification that a product is permissible to Muslims is obtained by major companies from various Islamic advocacy groups on payment of a fee/tax, and we have little insight into how that money is used.

For Britain believes that all unnecessary suffering of animals should be legally prevented. We understand the natural order of things, and that, of course, animals prey on each other in nature. However, unnecessary entrapment, pain, or suffering caused by humans should be brought to an end and respect for animals promoted.

For Britain also understands that meat is a staple of the British diet and that farming is the backbone of food production. We seek to reform farming, working with British farmers towards a re-prioritisation of organic and natural farming methods and an end to factory farming.

Experimentation on animals is entirely unnecessary and should not be permitted.

For Britain will:

- End all un-stunned slaughter, without exception including the method referred to as recoverable stun.
- Hold a public inquiry into the certification of halal products.
- Reform the dairy industry so that cattle can roam freely as much as possible and have access to their calves.
- Actively protect greenfield sites/the greenbelt and promote protection of wildlife.
- Whilst hen caging has improved in recent years, we must go further – all hens must be free roaming.
- Introduce strict criminal penalties (including deportation for non-British citizens) for those found guilty of the above or other severe abuses of animals.
- Ban those found guilty of animal cruelty, abuse, or neglect from animal ownership for life.
- Ban glue traps for rodents; this is an unacceptably slow and cruel form of pest control. Pest control that kills rodents instantly is the only type that should be permitted.
- Ban farrowing crates for pigs.
- Fully investigate badger-culling with the aim of bringing it to an end.

Media

Ever since former US President Donald Trump declared mainstream broadcasters were peddling 'fake news', the world has become far more aware of the power of the media and its ability to manipulate public opinion for political ends.

The US Presidential Election of 2020 saw this rise to new heights (or new lows!). Mainstream media across the Western world displayed an astonishing anti-Trump agenda throughout the lead-up to the election and has taken it upon itself to announce Mr Biden as winner long before the contest has ended. This demonstrates an intense arrogance, as well as unfettered political preference and an attempt at public manipulation.

It's uncontroversial to note that the mainstream media across the Western world demonstrates a stark and regular bias in favour of open borders, multiculturalism, and 'woke' social ideas, whilst standing against nationalism and patriotism.

It is also uncontroversial to note that many in politics who speak critically of open borders, multiculturalism, Islamisation, man-made climate change, or transgenderism can expect to have their arguments ignored by the media – or to be declared 'far right', 'fascist', 'racist' or an otherwise hateful bigot, with no right of reply and no objective justification provided for the application of the affixed label.

This must end.

The wider public most often does not have the time to do intricate research into political issues or read the true arguments of a political candidate. Most people are busy raising their children and paying their mortgages; as such, they find their information from glancing through the mainstream media. Media giants understand this and manipulate headlines, and indeed language itself, to persuade the average voter that some politicians are 'good', while others are 'bad' and can thus be ignored or written off altogether as immoral or as 'cranks'. The effect this has on democracy should be obvious. People are voting not based upon the true position of a political candidate, but on media spin and outright untruths.

In a free society, and in the interests of democracy and free speech, the press should not be 'controlled'. However, journalists should be required to justify labels they attach to political candidates. For example, if a newspaper labels a candidate a 'fascist', they should be legally required to explain the accepted definition of fascism and explain why and how the candidate in question meets that definition. Furthermore, and particularly during an election period, all candidates should be granted a right of reply by the newspaper or television programme.

For Britain will:

- Reform defamation laws so that those affected can take legal action if a lie is told about them in the media. This cannot be prohibitively expensive, as it is now.
- Ensure that candidates in an election are given the right of reply by the newspaper/TV news programme – and that this right of reply must be of similar length and placed in a similar section of the newspaper/TV programme as the initial piece to which the candidate is responding
- Require newspapers/TV programmes to fully explain the meaning of political labels such as 'fascist' and explain how and why the candidate in question meets the definition of this label
- Resist and oppose all attempts to shut down independent blogging, sharing, or exchange

of information online. The internet has provided individuals with unprecedented liberty, and this must not be tampered with

- Resist and oppose all attempts by social media to practise political bias.
- Ensure the media reports accurate data regarding coronavirus and vaccination and ensure that all sides of these debates are presented to the public in an unbiased manner.

Islam

A Batley school teacher under police protection for displaying a cartoon, the murder of Sir David Amess, a terror attack in Liverpool; Islam has not gone away. Indeed, with mass migration (legal and illegal) escalating, and the vast majority of this from Islamic societies, this is an issue that will continue to affect Britain for years or even generations to come.

Since the 1950s, migration from the Islamic world to the UK has grown and grown. While immigration *per se*, if too high, can produce immense challenges in any society, immigration from societies with opposing cultural and religious values can be irreparably damaging. Mass migration from the Islamic world has resulted in terror attacks across Europe and the Western world leading to the deaths of 100s of people.

The political elite in Britain remains completely incapable of addressing this issue. We are routinely told that such attacks are the result of 'mental illness', or revenge for UK foreign policy. Our leaders still will not, and do not, address Islamic teachings as a potential cause of these attacks.

Islamic doctrine and freedom are entirely incompatible. Western society must recognise this and act accordingly. Terrorism is not the only concern.

Islamic cultural and religious values are very different to those of the Western world, or indeed many of the world's cultures. While Western democracy is built upon the concept of free speech and the right to criticise authority, including religious authority, Islam does not recognise this right. In fact, in several Islamic countries criticism of Islam is punished with the death penalty, often using incredibly gruesome methods.

A second major incompatibility between Western society and Islamic society involves the status and treatment of women and girls. While in most of the world's societies men and women enjoy equal rights, in Islamic societies women and girls are often subjected to sharia law. Child marriage, honour violence, domestic violence, rape and the degradation of females are rife across the Islamic world and sharia law permits many of these abuses. Criticism of this can amount to criticism of Islam and therefore blasphemy – thereby carrying the death penalty.

While For Britain recognises that individual Muslims are human beings who should be judged on their own merits like everyone else, we also recognise that mass immigration from the Muslim world brings Islam and sharia along with it.

Free speech and the safety and freedom of women and girls have dramatically reduced both in Britain and across the West as a result of the import of Islamic norms. Currently, Britain and the West is home to countless polygamous families, child marriages, and other practices entirely incompatible with our laws. This is because Muslims often live according to the rules of sharia and reject Western values; thus, a parallel system has emerged, with Muslims living under one law and everyone else under another.

For Britain also recognises that female genital mutilation (FGM) is sanctioned in Islamic scripture and carried out almost exclusively by Muslims.

We already recognise and oppose the growing anti-Semitism present in Britain and Europe as a result of mass Muslim migration.

For Britain will:

- End the use of sharia tribunals and alter arbitration and charity laws to outlaw these.
- Deport non-British citizens who engage in sharia law practices, or who preach against British democracy, or campaign or advocate for the overthrow of democracy in favour of sharia.
- Ensure that Islamic marriages are registered with the state, in line with those of other religions.
- Ban the burqa as a security threat.
- Regulate Islamic schools and ensure they keep within the lines of a new national curriculum (see 'education')
- Investigate mosques and close those found guilty of inciting violence (non-British imams will be deported).
- Close all mosques found to be involved with child marriage.
- Deport non-British members of 'grooming gangs' and apply heavy penalties of at least 20 years imprisonment for others.
- Disallow people in polygamous or child marriages from living in the United Kingdom.
- Ban halal and home slaughter of animals; those found to be 'sacrificing' animals at home during religious festivals should be arrested and non-British citizens deported.
- Support ex-Muslims and ensure that people are free to leave Islam without penalty; those who threaten ex-Muslims (apostates) should be prosecuted and deported if appropriate.
- Change laws on FGM to remove the evidential burden from children (i.e. children should not have to testify: their mutilated genitals provide the required proof), and ensure that those convicted are severely punished with long-term imprisonment and/ or deportation.
- Prosecute and/or deport those found guilty of threatening violence against critics of Islam.
- Ensure that police and government facilitate freedom of speech and the right to criticise Islam
- Hold a public inquiry into Islamic doctrine – including the Koran and hadiths – and the fundamentals of sharia law, and fully inform the British public as to its values.
- Annul forced marriages and return any non-British party to their country of origin without appeal.
- Actively support ex-Muslims and arrest and/or deport any person found guilty of threatening them.
- Recognise Islamic anti-semitism and take active steps to confront this.

Transgenderism

The 'trans' issue is increasingly prominent in British public life. It is a provocative topic and one in which freedom of speech has been severely curtailed. The most contentious aspects of the debate can be summarised as follows:

Self-Identification

Under the Gender Recognition Act 2004, those who wish to live as the opposite sex (transsexuals) are granted a Gender Recognition Certificate (GRC) provided they can show that they have lived as the opposite sex for a minimum period of 2 years and intend to do so for the remainder of their lives. A GRC allows a person to legally change their sex on their birth certificate and other documents. It also provides for life-long confidentiality for the affected person. Some countries, however, now allow for self-identification. This means that a person merely has to state that they 'are' the opposite sex to be allowed access to services intended for their newly adopted sex. This has resulted in biological males being granted access to women's dressing rooms, bathrooms, hospital wards, prisons and other spaces intended to be private places for women.

Stonewall, an organisation that has previously campaigned for lesbian, gay and bisexual rights, now demands that people be legally recognised as the opposite merely for stating that they are. This would mean that single-sex spaces would disappear, presenting a clear danger to women and girls. We know that sexual assaults have taken place in women's dressing rooms, toilets, prisons, and other areas that had previously been women-only; to protect women from just such assaults.

For Britain absolutely opposes so-called self-identification and is committed to keeping single-sex spaces intact. Furthermore, we acknowledge that same-sex attraction is separate and distinct from transgenderism and that transgender activism presents a threat to the safety and rights of same-sex attracted people.

Furthermore, we condemn, without reservation, the threats, bullying and intimidation suffered by those who speak out against self-identification and trans-extremism. For example, the author JK Rowling was threatened (and continues to be threatened) with rape and death merely for tweeting that biological sex is an unalterable reality.

Freedom of speech

Those who do not accept that a person may legitimately change sex are currently unable to state this publicly for fear of censorship or punishment. Police routinely arrest, or threaten to arrest, those thought to be guilty of 'misgendering' (i.e. referring to a transsexual by the pronouns of their biological sex) or 'deadnaming' (referring to a transsexual by their previous name). Others are 'deplatformed' or fear losing their jobs if they express their genuinely held views on this matter.

Schools

Transactivism groups, which often encourage harmful gender stereotypes (as well as inventing 'new' genders), are currently free to propagate these ideas in schools – and with government funding.

Sports

Male-to-female transsexuals are currently able to compete in women's sports, with the enormous unfair advantage of greater physical size and strength. On several occasions, women and girls have lost the chance of victory because of being forced to compete against biological males.

For Britain believes that we must go back to the drawing board regarding the transgender issue, as current legislation is vague, arguably contradictory and leaves several vital questions unanswered. Therefore, we propose a new piece of legislation to replace all that has gone before. The Gender Recognition Act will be null and void. We will introduce The Gender Act will protect the rights of genuine transsexuals to live their lives as they see fit, whilst prioritising the safety and rights of biological females where clashes occur.

For Britain will:

- Continue to grant Gender Recognition Certificates to those who prove their commitment to changing their sex by having undergone (or begun the process of undergoing) full gender reassignment surgery. Automatic lifelong confidentiality will come to an end and confidentiality may be breached in certain circumstances.
- Continue to disallow 'self-identification'. Access to women-only spaces, or laws intended for the protection of biological females, will not be permitted for self-identifying male-to-female transsexuals.
- Restore free speech. People will not be arrested, threatened with arrest, lose their job, or have their right to express an opinion restricted or prohibited in any way with regard to transgenderism.
- Prevent transactivism campaign groups speaking at schools (especially without opposition).
- Prevent the administration of 'puberty blockers' (or any hormones or medication intended to alter or interfere with the natural progression of bodily development) to those under the age of 21 (this will be a criminal offence).
- Prohibit medical and surgical intervention for the purpose of changing sex to anyone under the age of 21.
- Prevent male-to-female transsexuals from participating in sporting events intended for biological females. Transsexuals will of course be free to organise their own separate sporting contests.
- Prevent male-to-female transsexuals from having permission to work in women's shelters, women's dressing rooms, Girl Guides, or any other space intended to be reserved for biological females. There may be exceptions to this rule when fairness or common sense requires it.
- Male-to-female transsexuals may be imprisoned with biological females only if their male genitalia have been removed and they are deemed not to present a threat to biological females. Male-to-female transsexuals should not, however, be placed in danger themselves (i.e. in a male prison); therefore, it is proposed that such prisoners be classified as vulnerable and afforded full protection.
- Prosecute incitement of violence aimed at those who criticise any aspect of transgenderism.
- Provide a legislative framework so that people may take legal action against employers if they are fired or harassed as a result of their views on transgenderism.

Family law

Family law – the area of law that deals with divorce and child custody – is in urgent need of reform.

If parents cannot agree regarding child custody issues (or other matters involving children) between themselves, these decisions are made by the courts following divorce/separation. At present, the Children Act demands that the interests of the child must always be paramount in any decision regarding his/her living arrangements, or other matters. The 'Welfare Checklist' has been developed by the courts to determine the factors they will use when deciding upon the future of a child. Case law has also developed the concept that it is (partly) in a child's best interests to continue living with their 'primary carer'. This is very often deemed to be the child's mother and, as such, inadvertently places mothers at something of an unfair advantage. Furthermore, fathers often have little recourse (without great expense) if a mother unilaterally decides to prevent their access to their children.

According to one For Britain member who has been denied access to his children, "Court orders for access to the children are often given in the father's favour, but when the mother refuses to honour it, there is no option but to return to court and start proceedings all over again".

The law currently states that parents do not have a right to see their children – rather, that children have a right to see their parents.

For Britain believes that children have a right to see their parents, but also that parents have a right to see their children – a right that should not be dependent upon the whim of the other parent. This right should only be revoked if a parent has been abusive (to the child or the other parent), engaged in serious criminal activity, or if their right to access has been deemed to place the child or other parent in danger. For Britain also believes in shared parenting.

Finally, For Britain is gravely concerned about 'forced adoption'. This occurs when authorities forcefully remove children from their parents on a permanent basis. The issue was raised by an MP in 2011, but there is little sign that this vital issue has been explored since then. For Britain will bring this to the limelight once again. In 2011, John Hemming MP claimed that up to 1,000 children per year are 'wrongly' taken from their parents and forcefully adopted. We are acutely aware that children are removed from parents, often without just cause, and that this situation must be brought to an end.

For Britain will:

- Introduce the legal assumption of shared parenting.
- Introduce grandparent rights.
- Introduce a Bill of Rights and Responsibilities for parents – this would impose legal penalties upon parents who maliciously refuse access to the other parent, as well as oblige both parents to provide support to children, including emotional, financial, and educational support.
- Conduct a public inquiry into current injustices in the family law system with a view to a complete overhaul in favour of equal parenting rights.
- Set up a formal national review of forced adoption and ensure that as much as possible is done to prevent the wrongful removal of children from their natural parents.
- For Britain will encourage the adoption of children in the UK, as opposed to children from other nations; there are many British children in need of homes, and For Britain will reward such adoptions.

- Hold a public inquiry in to forced adoption and make whatever changes are necessary to hold the public sector to account on this matter and prevent further injustices.

Housing

The provision of housing is one of the core responsibilities of any government. Whether this is through the provision of social housing for those on low income, or via incentivising the construction of private or affordable housing, a decent place to live is a key priority for all people. For Britain recognises that currently there is insufficient provision of housing in the United Kingdom and that it has become effectively unaffordable in many parts of our country, especially in large cities.

For Britain understands that demand for housing outstrips supply, and that this has contributed immeasurably to increasing house prices and growing homelessness. We understand that it is both a moral and political failing to allow this situation to continue and to offer a home to people from faraway lands while ignoring the plight of homeless Britons.

The great British countryside has been detrimentally affected by the over-population of this land. Waste and inefficiency at local-government level often contributes to this, and local people are denied a say as to the future of their towns and villages in terms of construction.

For Britain will:

- Freeze immigration and bring demand for housing under control.
- Legislate so that local people are prioritised in the provision of social housing.
- Allow local people to vote in referenda regarding the construction of major developments (either housing or commercial) in their area.
- Ensure that the British countryside is protected from over-development by obliging local government to build on brownfield sites first.
- Incentivise local government with greater financial freedom to facilitate the funding of local housing construction.
- Allow local government to refuse asylum seekers in their area if they can show that local people are disadvantaged by this to such an extent that it would amount to the prioritisation of asylum seekers over British people.
- Ensure that areas in which housing construction is to take place have the capacity to provide the required infrastructure for expansion.
- Incentivise local government to investigate compulsory purchase orders to allow for a reduction in waste; empty buildings left unused for years, especially if owned by local government, should be considered for use prior to any new development.

Economy

Moral Money – A Return to Responsible Capitalism

For Britain is a party of trade and creativity. Freedom to trade is integral to freedom generally. It is imperative, and we know that it creates wealth and raises living standards.

However, we also know that over recent decades, a radical transformation has taken place. A chaotic capitalism has emerged and a debt-based bubble has formed – one that grows larger and larger and comes closer and closer to bursting point with each passing year. Few political parties will admit, or even discuss, the degree to which debt has transformed our economy.

We currently live in an economic zeitgeist of ‘get rich quick’. A free-for-all period of cheap and unqualified borrowing led to the financial crisis of 2008. Easy lending in the United States caused an explosion in construction, causing house prices to rise. Investment in construction in the US increased, and the bubble grew. Oil prices rose mid-2007, contributing to unemployment and followed by mass default on sub-prime US mortgages. When the bubble therefore burst, house prices declined, investors reported losses, and consumers had both defaulted on and accumulated enormous levels of personal debt.

The debt bubble, both for consumers and businesses, continues to expand.

British people and companies are now in unprecedented debt. The culture of ‘living for today’ has changed our collective thinking about debt, creating irresponsible capitalism. Today, debt is ‘the norm’. Families are burdened with enormous mortgages; that too is ‘the norm’. For Britain believes strongly in the promotion of responsible capitalism and a move away from debt. We believe in ending the financial bias in favour of debt (over equity) and returning to careful capitalism.

Debt

In recent decades, consumer debt in the United Kingdom has risen dramatically. It is now the case that *almost everyone* is in debt. Solvency is a thing of the past and is actively discouraged. Instead of saving, consumers are encouraged (through the easy availability of credit) to borrow against future potential earnings – often at amounts far higher than their annual income. Also over the last few decades, household debt rose from less than 30% to over 100% of total annual income.¹⁷In other words, people are now in more debt than they can afford to pay.

People in the UK owed £1680 billion in 2020.¹⁸

‘In the year up to November 2019, UK households borrowed almost as much as the Government, demonstrating that debt remains both a private and public sector issue.’

Businesses suffer similarly. Almost all businesses are in debt of some kind. Therefore, the race for profit in order to pay these debts has become a race to the bottom. In their bid to sell, businesses have expanded the areas in which they sell their goods – seeking a wider market to make more profit. This leads to greater transport costs (and more cars on the road), causing yet more expense and

¹⁷ Rowbotham: Grip of Death: A Study of Modern Money, debt Slavery and destructive economics

¹⁸ <https://themoneycharity.org.uk/money-stats-january-2020-uk-2019-household-debt-closely-parallels-governments/>

environmental damage. For Britain understands however that many businesses would not have come in to being without debt. We do not oppose this.

The bid to sell, sell, sell has also led to a reduction in quality, as producers cut costs to mass produce that they ship to faraway markets, all to increase profits so that they can remain viable despite their mountainous debts.

Keeping production costs low has inevitably led to lower quality products and promoted a throwaway society. Not long ago, long-lasting household products were available from British producers, whose costs were lower thanks to lower debt and fewer transport costs, as targeted markets remained local.

Today, mass import of cheap foreign goods (such as from China) has destroyed Britain's manufacturing base and filled our homes with substandard products – we no longer expect products to 'last'. Again, this promotes short-termism and irresponsibility, which For Britain is determined to reverse.

Banking

As has already been mentioned, the easy availability of credit in the United States led to the financial crash of 2008. Almost all individuals and businesses, both in the US and the UK, are heavily indebted to banks. Debt weighs heavily on both production and distribution.

It is fair to say then that banks are extremely powerful – they can take people's homes, close people's businesses, cause global financial crashes and make millions unemployed. As a consequence, they can place intense pressure on governments and exert immeasurable influence.

How can this be? How can it be that banks have the power to bring an economy to its knees? Banks are neither elected nor accountable. During the financial crash of 2008, British banks were bailed out by the government. Although the banks had effectively caused the crash, taxpayers' money was handed over to save them; they'd 'got away with it'. No lessons would therefore be learned.

Prior to the 1970s, there were much tighter controls on banking, specifically on what percentage of depositors' funds could be lent to consumers. During the '80s and '90s, much regulation was relaxed and banks liberalised credit, making it far easier to borrow. A society and economy mounted upon debt has been the result.

Bonds

The bond market is little different, and it too is encouraging irresponsible capitalism. If governments or corporations issue bonds to raise funds, when those bonds mature, they simply issue more bonds. This is an extraordinary situation and symptomatic of a circular debt market. Debts are incurred in order to pay off debts. The circle goes round and round... eventually, debts will be recalled and further borrowing (to pay off debts) will not be available. Eventually, the merry-go-round must come to a halt. This is why we must return to responsible capitalism.

For Britain is not opposed to debt *per se*, and we understand that many businesses have formed on debt and gone on to achieve great success, but the levels of debt, on both a national and domestic level, are too high; a move backwards to a centre ground on this matter is required.

Furthermore, private ownership must be protected as a concept and encouraged in practice.

For Britain will:

Ban the buyback of shares

If a company buys its own shares, it increases the value of each individual share. This means their shareholders receive a financial boost. However, it also drains cash from the company. This will in turn lead to more borrowing by companies, as their cash balance drops.

During the early part of the coronavirus crisis, Airlines for America, the US aviation industry's representative organisation, requested \$50 billion from federal government to help the industry through the crisis. Meanwhile, it has been estimated 'that the five biggest US airlines splurged 96% of their free cash flow on buybacks over the past decade'.¹⁹ Is it fair for the taxpayer to come to the aid of companies who have spent their money enriching their shareholders and themselves?

The buyback of shares is an inherent part of the get-rich-quick culture we now find ourselves in. Companies buying back their shares provides a hefty boost (and hefty bonuses) but at the cost of draining cash from the company. This means that when hard times hit, the company will either lay off thousands of workers, or request state aid, or both, because it has no cash in the bank to fall back on.

During the coronavirus crisis, President Trump included a condition that companies given public aid to help them through the crisis, would not use this to buy back their own shares. For Britain will go further: we will ban the buyback of shares.

Share buybacks were illegal in the UK as recently as 1982. It was once seen as stock-market manipulation, yet now it is common practice. We believe it must be brought to an end and companies encouraged to have enough cash in the bank for a rainy day, rather than calling upon the already overburdened taxpayer.

Tax

For Britain believes in a low tax, low waste economy, and that is what we will strive towards. It is mere common sense that the more money people have in their pockets, the more they spend, and the more money is injected into the economy. It encourages entrepreneurship and discourages unemployment.

Therefore, we make the following proposals for revenue, tax, and the reduction of waste.

Tax and Spending proposals:

- Efficiency savings in national and local government and the NHS. Everyone who works in them knows there is endemic, horrendous waste. We will give managers the power and incentives (including a small percentage of the money saved) to cut the waste. Saving 10% of government expenditure is a very conservative estimate.
- No more subsidies to foreign countries and international NGOs, except for genuine emergency aid. Close down DFID.
- Limit Child Benefit to two children per couple.

¹⁹ <https://markets.businessinsider.com/news/stocks/warren-buffett-stock-buybacks-reasons-loves-face-bailout-ban-coronavirus-2020-3-1029032498>

- For the first five years in the UK, immigrants will not have access to the welfare system. They should be able to show they can support themselves, pay medical insurance and so on before entering the country.
- Strictly enforce the existing law that the NHS must secure the ability to pay for services provided for foreigners BEFORE treatment, except in emergency cases. There must be a mechanism to bill the person, or, if necessary, to collect the money from their Embassy or the airline that brought them here.
- Levy personal tax (replacing income tax and NI) at a flat rate of 25% above the personal allowance, with no exemptions. This will save the economy many millions in tax simplification.
- Remove those on the minimum wage from the income tax and NI nets. We will combine income tax and NI into the new personal tax and harmonise the personal allowance and minimum wage.
- Scrap all climate change taxes, eliminating subsidies for wind turbines and solar panels and the taxes that have been imposed on all energy users to pay for them.
- Scrap the TV licence. Fund the BBC by a voluntary subscription instead.
- Completely separate investment and retail banking so that investment banking cannot rely on the capital balances of retail banking.
- Substantially reduce VAT, which at 20% loads a crushing deadweight onto many transactions, that then become uneconomic. Immediately reduce VAT to 17.5% and eliminate VAT on home power and heating.
- Increase defence expenditure to properly fund our Armed Forces. We have two aircraft carriers with no planes on them and the smallest Navy since Henry VIII. An increase in defence expenditure from 2% to 3% of GDP, as the latter was recently recommended by the Defence Select Committee.
- Help small businesses by reducing Business Rates by 50% on properties under £50,000 rateable value.
- Abolish Inheritance Tax. This is a regressive tax because only people of moderate means pay it. The wealthy can afford estate planning.
- Reduce Stamp Duty Land Tax across the board by 1% to get the stagnant housing market moving.

Coronavirus and the Economy

Small business has suffered catastrophically since the outbreak of covid 19. National lockdowns closed many small (and medium sized) businesses for long periods, leaving many struggling to get back to their feet when these lockdowns ended.

Chancellor Rishi Sunak, in his autumn budget of 2021, said he wanted to reduce taxes, but admitted that these would rise – largely in order to fund the health and care services.²⁰ He did not address cutting financial waste as a method of lowering taxes.

For Britain believes that taxes need to be reduced in order to aid economic restoration post the coronavirus pandemic.

It should also be investigated whether or not tax breaks should be further delivered for smaller companies and that the largest ones should shoulder a greater burden. Business rates should be reduced (see above) for smaller businesses, as this will help reduce unemployment.

For Britain will insist that multinational corporations pay tax on UK generated profits and will insist on transparent book keeping and disclosure of profit and loss, therefore insuring that large

²⁰ <https://news.sky.com/story/budget-2021-chancellor-rishi-sunak-denies-raising-taxes-to-cut-them-before-next-election-to-win-votes-but-says-reducing-burden-is-goal-12457389>

corporations are paying what they owe. With the extra tax revenue generated we will be able to consider a lower rate of corporation tax for all businesses, therefore benefitting SME's and levelling the playing field between large and small businesses. If we can reduce corporation tax, we can reduce the incentive for multinational companies to pay their tax in other countries as it will be more lucrative to set their businesses up in the UK, this will also reduce the unfair advantage that multinationals have over SME's which are based and paying tax in the UK.

Cash

The coronavirus pandemic led to a drastic reduction in the use of cash. Online purchases grew and many businesses encouraged people to pay for goods and services using debit cards; cash was considered to be a potential aid to the spread of the virus.

An increase in the use of cards over cash means that most purchases are recorded, and it makes people dependent upon banks in order to make payments.

Digital currencies have been discussed as a result of the pandemic. In November, the Bank of England and the Treasury announced that they would launch a formal consultation on a UK central bank digital currency. Such currencies are being explored and developed by various central banks around the world.²¹ Some are worried that this will give governments and banks excessive control and surveillance over individual spending.

For Britain would encourage the use of cash and make it unlawful for businesses to refuse it as a method of payment. We would ensure that banks, governments or 'big tech' can not occupy a place where they can control the use of people's spending.

Jobs

Tax cuts for small business will help reduce unemployment, encourage entrepreneurship, and create employment.

All tax options should be explored by any government, that should aim to create employment and financial independence for individuals and businesses.

While it is a core responsibility of government to constantly investigate ways to stimulate the economy in the best interests of the British people.

For Britain proposes the following, which would be part of an overall constantly developing strategy to create jobs.

Manufacturing

There is an alarming trend; British military equipment being built overseas.

For example, in Plymouth in 2021, unions complained that the Conservative government allowed international firms to be involved in a bid for a £1.6 billion contract to build support ships for the Royal Navy. The competition allowed for foreign companies to produce the building blocks of the

²¹ <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-59223340>

ships, that would then be assembled in Britain. Unions contested that this would allow ships to be declared 'made in Britain', when in fact they'd only been assembled here.

Concerns of this nature have gone back some years and are often mired in complexity.

Therefore, For Britain's position is simple: all military equipment, including ships and aircraft, should be built in the United Kingdom. Contracts cannot allow for the above scenario to occur. A full review of our military capabilities is required (see defence policies) and any new equipment required will be produced at home – enhancing security and creating employment.

Coastal Communities

Before Britain joined the European Common Market in 1973, our fishing fleet supported numerous coastal towns and cities. In the last few decades, it had dwindled to next to nothing in many of these locations.

Some of the busiest docks in the world have now been concreted over.

Fishing was central to Brexit negotiations and For Britain believes the government did not secure a good deal to restore British coastal communities (and create jobs related to fishing).

We must go back to the drawing board in this regard and ensure all available options are explored to give British boats priority in British waters.

Defence & Foreign Affairs

For Britain has a forward looking and positive foreign policy. As we move away from the constraints of EU membership, we will ensure that we can once again trade and act independently as a free nation. This determination to represent true democracy and the will of the electorate is at the heart of our beliefs and drives a pragmatic and realistic global strategy. Part of that is accountability to British citizens and their interests.

The United Kingdom is already a powerful global economy. For Britain observes that we have relied too heavily in the past on the financial sector. Although banking and finance are a large and important part of the UK economy, we believe that the UK should restore its manufacturing base, much of which has been off-shored.

There is no reason why we should not have a transport, steel, energy and ship-building industry, as well as a textiles and domestic electrical goods manufacturing capability within the UK. We are a nation of around 65 million people, all of whom need clothes and food and most of whom require electrical and mechanical goods. For Britain will strive to ensure that as many goods as possible are produced in the UK. Once we re-establish a strong manufacturing base this will in turn lead to more exports and trade internationally. Put simply, we need to make and sell things, not only globally but internally.

For Britain accepts that security is often achieved through global cooperation. For Britain will never go to war nor deploy defence assets unless it is beyond doubt that such actions are in the interests of the UK or in line with our NATO obligations.

We will cooperate with international law and intelligence agencies insofar as this serves the security interests of the UK and its citizens.

Foreign criminals will be deported. Furthermore, anyone engaged in terrorism who is not a British citizen will be deported, with the costs involved levied against the individual and their country of origin.

For Britain believes that the UN in its current form is a globalist political lobbying group. We will hold a review of the terms of our membership, with the possibility of leaving the UN if it does not reform its current political agenda. We will not tolerate international bodies dictating to the UK, when they think it reasonable to appoint Saudi Arabia to lead on women's human rights. We are not so foolish as to tolerate such hypocrisies, nor are we going to allow or follow legislation that does not derive from Western democratic processes.

For Britain will not allow foreign powers, businesses or organisations to build or operate places of worship or engage in religious or political gatherings on British soil that are deemed against the interests of democracy and the accepted norms of a free society. Furthermore, those places already built will be reviewed and any found to be proselytising against the interests of the UK and its accepted freedoms and democracy will be closed down. Such proselytising amounts to encouraging the overthrow of British democracy.

The UK's relationship with its friends and neighbours is vital to our well-being, and we respect and value our international relationships and obligations.

For Britain will re-affirm the UK's warm relationship with the United States and the values upon which it was built.

For Britain will recognise Israel's right to self-defence and the reality that Hamas (and others) are driven to wage war against Israel on the basis of religious and anti-Semitic hatred.

We will recognise Jerusalem as the Israeli capital.

For Britain recognises the plight of white farmers in South Africa and that they are subjected to racist discrimination and abuse. We believe such people should be offered asylum in Britain.

One of the primary roles of government is to defend its people and territory from harm. To do so, a country must have a military defence capability that is fit for purpose, well-funded, and which places the safety and support of military personnel at its heart. For Britain thanks the members of our Armed Forces who have agreed to put their lives on the line in defence of our country and way of life.

For Britain demands that the current disempowerment of our Forces be reversed. Our Forces must be suitably equipped, manned, trained and organised to defeat threat from any source. They must also be sufficiently trained to meet threats from new sources and modern weapons that go beyond the physical'.

For Britain wishes to see our Forces enjoy renewed confidence and high morale. We believe they are the best in the world. Restoring morale would involve increased and wise expenditure on equipment, ships, aircraft and weapons. We will never forget the human needs of our Forces, during and after their service. We will ensure that respect is paid to our defenders.

For Britain will:

- Raise defence spending to 3% of GDP.
- Withdraw from all agreements that commit the UK to being part of a combined EU military force.
- Ensure that the appropriate supply and equipment needs of our Forces are met, and with materials of the highest quality.
- Ensure that British manufacturing and technical industries take precedence whenever a procurement contract for any sort of supply is offered.
- Encourage the introduction of new electronically controlled weapons, including robotic tanks and drones for battlefield surveillance and domination.
- Ensure that the latest fire-arms developments be available to our Forces.
- Actively promote new developments in strategy and tactics and increase the training and readiness levels of our military.
- Demand that waste at all levels, top-heavy management and the use of inappropriate civil subcontractors be abolished.
- Root out any covert influence which such insidious organisations as 'Common Purpose' may have secured, or tried to secure, over military decision-makers at all levels.
- Give priority to veterans in housing, jobs, life-adjustment training, mental and physical health care, and any other needs. New pre-retirement endeavours will be made in partnership with future employers.
- Support and encourage all organisations dedicated to helping our ex-service retirees. We will help to create, support and maintain new personal help networks as the need arises.
- Encourage the regular celebration of British victories, centred on our ubiquitous War Memorials in villages, towns and cities across ourland, led by local veterans.
- Ensure that UK troops do not take part in war unless it is in the interests of the British people and our way of life, or if obliged to do so through our NATO commitments.
- Take all personnel on operational duty overseas out of Income Tax.
- Create a dedicated Minister for Veterans to head a Veterans Administration, to co-ordinate all services.
- Create a dedicated military hospital. Britain is the only major country in Europe without one.

- Ensure sufficient accommodation for homeless veterans is available to take all ex-forces personnel off the streets.
- Cooperate with international law and intelligence agencies inasmuch as this serves the security interests of the UK and its citizens.
- Deport foreign criminals.
- Disallow foreign powers, businesses or organisations from building or operating places of worship or engaging in religious or political gatherings, upon British soil, that are against the interests of democracy and the accepted norms of a free society.
- End foreign aid except in emergencies.

Energy, Agriculture & Transport

For Britain aims to develop environmental policy and legislation based on robust, scientific, evidence-based information. It will aim to safeguard the quality of Britain's land, air, and water for the health, use, and enjoyment of Britons for generations to come, by balancing the best conservation and restoration practices. We want a clean environment: clean air, a clean countryside, clean roads, and clean oceans. That is the basis of our vision for energy and the environment.

Climate Change

Climate change is one of the biggest issues of our time, at least according to the mainstream media. The primary issue is the extent to which human activity, in burning coal, oil and gas for example, is contributing to climate change, and whether this is taking us towards imminent disaster. According to world governments, the United Nations, and much of the world's press, we have only a matter of years to turn this around – or face extinction

Many renowned scientists continue to challenge the theory of man-made global warming; there is no scientific consensus on the theory that CO² produced by human activity is causing dangerous global warming today or will in the future, or that the world is facing environmental catastrophes unless these emissions are drastically reduced. Climate change alarmism is based on flawed models that have consistently failed at correctly predicting the future. None of the cataclysmic predictions that have been made about the climate since the 1970s have come true: there has been no new Ice Age, no steady warming in direct relation to increases in CO² levels, no disappearance of polar ice caps, no exceptional rise in ocean levels, no abnormal increase in catastrophic weather events, and no widespread crop failure and famine. In fact, CO² is beneficial for agriculture, and there has recently been a measurable 'greening' of the world, in part thanks to these higher levels.

Despite what global warming propaganda claims, CO² is not a pollutant. It is an essential ingredient for life on Earth and needed for plant growth. Given the uncertainties surrounding the scientific basis of global warming, and the certainties about the huge costs of measures designed to fight it, there is no compelling reason to jeopardise our prosperity with more government 'green' intervention.

The Truth About Green Energy

The United Kingdom is spending billions of pounds at home and abroad on the fight against climate change. Our government has imposed taxes and countless regulations, claiming this will lower greenhouse gases. It is subsidising inefficient and costly green technology and restricts the crucial development of our petroleum resources. We must stop sending money to developing countries to help them reduce their emissions. We must also abolish subsidies for green technologies while encouraging private investors to develop profitable and efficient alternatives.

The aim of all this spending is to reduce CO² emissions. In this respect, all the actions of the world's governments have failed, as the CO² concentration in the atmosphere continues to rise unabated. Yet according to research by NASA, this increase in the CO² level is responsible for 70% of the incredible 14% increase in the world's vegetation over the past 35 years. The world is currently producing record harvests, with less land, but such information is not widely published because the mainstream media refuse to provide balance.

The UK produces approximately 1.2% of the world's CO² and boasts about reduced CO² levels, while research shows all we have done is export our industry and jobs to countries like China (without any overall CO² reduction, while increasing pollution levels as a result of lower pollution standards in

such countries). As the sources of green energy are investigated, it becomes clear that these sources, of solar, wind, and biomass, are anything but clean.

Scientists from around the world, as well as within the UK, have pleaded with governments to stop the destruction of the environment caused by biomass as an energy source. There are huge subsidies for plants such as the Drax station, which burns 37,000 tons of wood pellets a day. For Britain would listen to the science and apply common sense by stopping such biomass plants.

Wind energy is also not 'clean', and because it is an intermittent energy, it requires fast-acting energy sources (such as gas) to be there when there is no wind – a condition that can last for over a week. It is therefore purely an additional cost, as well as a disaster for wildlife from birds to bats to insects. For Britain would stop building wind farms and remove all subsidies. A single wind-turbine owner can be paid as much as seven times the price of the electricity the turbine produces.

Research has shown that solar panels do not repay their CO² debt from manufacture and installation. In addition, there is a huge environmental problem with the disposal of waste from solar. Even Germany, with its enormous solar installation, has now abandoned solar panel subsidies. Hence, a For Britain government would remove all subsidies on solar power.

Future Energy Sources

For Britain wants the UK economy to boom while improving environmental standards at the same time. The most important aspect underlying such an economy is a cheap source of energy. It is for this reason that For Britain would license and encourage Stable Salt-water Reactors as our main source of energy. These reactors use nuclear power-plant waste, dramatically reducing its toxicity, whilst producing 100 times the energy of the original nuclear plant. The UK currently has enough such waste to supply the entire country with energy for the next 400 years. Such plants cannot melt down and are safe.

For Britain would encourage the UK to become a world centre for the production of such plants. This would boost the economy and greatly improve pollution levels.

Cleaner Air and Electric Cars

For Britain supports policies that improve air quality in our towns and cities. We regard the current government policy of banning internal petrol and diesel cars as unworkable, as is the current pursuit of an all-electric light vehicle policy by 2035. In particular, we consider banning hybrid cars a case of putting ideology before common sense: there are simply not enough resources in the world for the UK to achieve its current electric vehicle targets by 2035. The costs would be unaffordable. Given that research shows such vehicles actually produce more CO² than they can save, their only advantage is cleaner air.

Realising that the vast majority of round-trip car journeys are below 50 miles, For Britain encourages the production of hybrid cars with much smaller batteries, which can be charged from a normal home outlet as well as car engines. The result? The vast majority of trips (short trips) are electric, cleaning air in towns and cities.

Cleaner Rivers, Oceans, and Sea Shores

Our rivers and seas are cleaner now than in the recent past, but there is still some way to go. Most of our storm and sewage drainage was built long ago by the Victorians. Today, when there is too much rain, the sewage overflows into storm drains, pushing raw sewage into our rivers. This 'overflow' occurs many thousands of times per year. For Britain, whilst accepting the cost of putting this right is very large, wants to recognise this as a problem and prepare a long-term plan that invests over time to correct this situation. Again, we want to improve our environment not based on ideology but on real-world solutions that work.

Nature Conservation

The UK should also invest in nature conservation and restoration to protect our indigenous wild spaces, species, and ecosystems, while also providing for our agricultural needs. The expansion of green belts must continue to safeguard the countryside for enjoyment.

Waste Management

We can reduce waste with simple measures such as introducing bottle depots and a deposit programme to encourage people to return their used bottles in exchange for a refund. Recycling programmes must be reviewed to ensure that what is marked for recycling does not end up in landfill. We need to invest in processes and technologies to deal with existing and future waste, while reducing the amount going forward. Businesses must also be required to reduce packaging.

Despite the emergence of alternative sources of energy, global demand for oil, natural gas, and petroleum products will remain the foundational energy sources in Britain for decades to come. The United Kingdom produces approximately 1 million barrels per day of petroleum liquids and significant volumes of natural gas, providing over 300 billion GBP in tax revenue and the creation of highly skilled jobs for Britons. Tax and royalty revenue from this resource is vital for the government and economy; therefore, while Britain maximises its income from the North Sea, we must also invest in technological innovations in petroleum production, rather than deny the industry's existence and economic importance.

For Britain is committed to the protection of the environment and the conservation of nature. Therefore, precautions must be taken to protect resources such as soil, water, air, landscape, fauna, and flora from exploitation. The priorities of For Britain's agriculture policy are, therefore, to minimise the consumption of uncultivated land, reduce soil and water pollution, and improve the quality of air. In addition, measures are to be taken to curb noise-pollution.

For Britain is also committed to a rigorous implementation of animal protection acts. Animals are not soulless objects, but fellow creatures. As sensitive creatures, animals have the right to appropriate housing and handling, be it in private households or animal husbandry.

Within Britain, the transport of slaughter animals should only be permissible over short distances. Farmers rearing livestock for food production are responsible for their products being free of antibiotic residues; antibiotics must be kept to a minimum and antibiotics for the treatment of humans should not be used for animals.

For Britain is convinced that professionally run farms, rooted in their historic environments, are best suited to produce food which is both marketable and environmentally compatible. The goal is to

produce, on a sustainable basis, high quality, healthy food that is free of chemical residues, and which remains affordable. Furthermore, we demand detailed information on food, and transparency as to its origin.

For Britain will strive to improve the general framework for a sustainable and productive agricultural sector comprising small and medium-sized farming units

For Britain believes it is time to give motorists a break. Motorists are ever more burdened, and owning a car is becoming ever more expensive – parking prohibitively so. Public transport is often completely inefficient and overcrowded.

Public transport must be improved, particularly across the nation and between cities. HS2 must be scrapped and funds used to improve existing railway service.

Britain's taxi service must also undergo radical improvement, with safety and the ability of drivers to make a decent living at the forefront as priorities. For Britain will introduce a **Six Point Plan** (below) for Taxi and Private Hire Drivers (including 'Uber' drivers).

For Britain will:

- End HS2 and invest in railways.
- Provide for 2 hours free parking in town centres.
- Designate protected areas where nature is allowed to evolve entirely on its own. These untouched areas would secure the survival of many rare plant and animal species.
- Encourage and facilitate a return to small and medium-sized farms.
- Ensure animals are slaughtered as close as possible to their home environment.
- Ensure animals raised for slaughter are free of harmful toxins or residues.
- Ensure full labelling of foods.
- Investigate and oppose pesticides unless proved harmless to humans.
- Promote Stable Salt-water Reactors as our main source of energy.
- Promote hybrid electric light vehicles to improve our air quality.
- Abandon unrealistic greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, while prioritising the implementation of practical solutions to make Britain's air, water, and land cleaner.
- Encourage citizens and businesses to reduce, reuse, and recycle waste.
- Invest in small farms while discouraging agribusiness.
- Incentivise the reduction of plastic in food packaging.
- Require the media and educational institutions to openly discuss climate change from all angles and to allow all sides of the debate to be heard.

Six Point Plan for Taxis

1. The driver must have a registered UK address and be listed on the electoral register;
2. Drivers must be legally resident in the UK for at least 24 months;
3. The driver must hold a full valid UK (or Irish) driving licence, earned by taking and passing the **national driving test in Britain or Ireland**;
4. The driver must satisfy a comprehensive DBS safety check (formerly a CRB check) going back a minimum of 10 years;
5. The driver must be able to speak English and pass a national standardised spoken & written English test. **All tests and interviews will be carried out in English**;

6. Licensing fraud, or any fraud committed by drivers in the establishment of a taxi service, will be punishable with a prison sentence and/or deportation for non-British citizens;

Welfare & Pensions

For Britain supports the existence of a welfare state. However, it understands that this should provide a safety net for those who cannot work or who have fallen on hard times, and that it should not be a method of avoiding work or settling into a life of inactivity. We believe that welfare-dependency has extremely negative effects both on the individual and on society as a whole, and that self-sufficiency provides a sense of well being and pride, generating a positive impact on society as a whole.

Furthermore, we believe that those who are elderly or infirm, or otherwise unable to work, should be better taken care of than is currently the case.

During 'normal' non-COVID times, the government spends more on welfare than education, defence, or policing. We believe this is symptomatic of an economy that simply isn't working. House prices are too high and wages too low – added to which, a growing population is putting extra strain on all areas of life, including welfare.

All of this has been enormously exacerbated by the coronavirus crisis.

Furthermore, the welfare system is overly complicated and costly; common sense measures can be implemented to reduce this.

For Britain is aware that those with mental disabilities, such as autism or Asperger syndrome, often find it difficult to find work, despite research by the National Autistic Society that found most people with the condition actively seek employment.

For Britain understands that our country's pensioners are the people who have built and maintained our country and that they deserve a retirement that is comfortable, dignified, and reflective of their contribution to our nation's wealth and status. Pensions are not a benefit but a right, and the UK is lagging behind the rest of Europe in this regard.

For Britain seeks major state-pension reform that is fair to both men and women, and which provides for a higher standard of living – in line with pension rates in other European countries.

For Britain will:

- Equalise the retirement age for men and women at 63.
- Raise state pensions in line with other European countries.
- Prevent means-testing of pensions.
- Re-evaluate tax bands for retirees
- End all welfare to illegal immigrants.
- Introduce a single, simplified benefits system to reduce overall running costs.
- Introduce an initiative with the private sector involving provision of grants, zero national insurance payments, and other tax relief measures to employ benefits claimants, on the minimum wage. The government would provide a subsidised wage, in line with the living wage, based on the cost of living in the local area. This would give the private sector a very lucrative incentive to employ benefits claimants.
- Phase out these grants and subsidies over time as the employee receives more employment rights and becomes more skilled and valued within the private company.
- Ensure that welfare benefits are paid only to British citizens or those who have legally lived and worked (paid taxes) in the United Kingdom for a minimum period of 5 years.

- Ensure that self-sufficiency is encouraged in young people.
- Incentivise employers to provide work experience or apprenticeship schemes to those with mental disabilities who are actively seeking employment and capable of working.
- Limit child benefit to two children only.

**YOUR VOICE AND VOTE
MATTER**

**NOW MORE THAN EVER IN
DETERMINING BRITAIN'S
FUTURE**

**JOIN, VOTE, AND STAND
FOR BRITAIN**

WWW.FORBRITAIN.UK



— FOR —
BRITAIN